

# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार  
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110 001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India  
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 2335 1282, www.nhidcl.com



CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र का उपक्रम

A PUBLIC SECTOR UNDERTAKING

No. - NHIDCL/Assam/Civil Works/D-B (Assam Portion)/2017/1

Date: 14.03.2018

To,

M/s Gawar - Singh (JV)	M/s Simplex Infrastructure Ltd.	M/s Madhucon Projects Limited
------------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------

Subject:- Construction of Dimapur Bypass (Assam Portion) of 4/6 lane pavement on EPC basis from existing Km 159.400 of NH-36 to existing Km 102.500 of NH-39 and upto end point of Assam portion [Design Km 118.050 to design Km 132.375] (length 14.325 Km) in the State of Assam under Phase-A of SARDP-NE-Opening of Financial bids regarding

Sir,

Please refer to your bid dated 20.02.2018 and NHIDCL letter no. even dated 13.03.2018 for the subject project. The following is the list of technically responsive Bidders whose financial bids shall be opened on 15.03.2018 at 1100 hrs in NHIDCL HQ, 3rd Floor PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Remarks
1	M/s Gawar - Singh (JV)	Technically Responsive
2	M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive as Turnover Certificate duly signed by the statutory auditor submitted and verified.
3	M/s Simplex Infrastructure Ltd.	Technically Responsive
4	M/s Madhucon Projects Ltd.	Technically Responsive
5	M/s Manaranjan Brahma	Technically Non Responsive (Please ref. Enclosed MoM)

2. All the technically responsive bidders are requested to provide Appendix-IA of Annexure VI A duly filled up and signed by 14.03.2018 (1600 Hrs.) positively. The financial bids shall be opened in accordance with para 3.2 of RFP.

3. All the Authorized representatives are requested to attend the opening of Financial Bids at the Scheduled date and Time.

Y.C. Srivastava

GM (Tech)

- Encl. – (i) MoM of ETEC, 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting  
(ii) Letter to M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. dated 09.03.2018  
(iii) Financial Consultant letter dated 13.03.2018

**National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation**

**Minutes of 2<sup>nd</sup> Meetings of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for “Construction of Dimapur Bypass (Assam Portion) of 4/6 lane pavement on EPC basis from existing Km 159.400 of NH-36 to existing Km 102.500 of NH-39 and upto end point of Assam portion [Design Km 118.050 to design Km 132.375] (length 14.325 Km) in the State of Assam under Phase-A of SARDP-NE” held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 09.03.2018**

1. In Continuation to 1<sup>st</sup> Meeting of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) held on 27.02.2018, and replies received from the bidders, the Evaluation report submitted by the Financial Consultant vide letter no. dated 08.03.2018 were deliberated by the ETEC in 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 09.03.2018. The remarks of ETEC w.r.t the observations, reply received and the submissions of Financial Consultant are tabulated below.

**(a) M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.**

Name of the Bidder: 1/5 ABCI Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd						
Sr.No	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Replies submitted by the Bidder	FC Comments	Remarks
1	Appendix III (POA)	NA	Board resolution date for execution of POA is 9 <sup>th</sup> Feb 2018 where as the POA executed on 24 <sup>th</sup> January 2018. How POA is executed before the BOD resolution date. <i>Bidder to clarify.</i>	The decision for participating in the Bid for the subject work was taken by the board members long back in May 2017 which can be ascertained from the date of the Bank Guarantee Submitted i.e.24-05-2017. Every time the submission date got extended the resolution was passed again and again. Prior to the last extension the last but one resolution was passed in 15 <sup>th</sup> January 2018 and the POA was prepared thereafter but the submission date got extended once again and the last resolution was passed on Feb 9 <sup>th</sup> just to confirm the submission of bid. We are attaching herewith a Copy of Board Resolution passed in 15 <sup>th</sup> Jan 2018.	Accepted	Agreed
2	Annexure-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder)	NA	The bidder has submitted Annexure –III i.e. Financial Capacity of the Bidder where in it is mentioned Applicant type as Joint venture. The bidder has applied in single capacity. Bidder to clarify.	We have submitted the bid as Single entity, however due to oversight; inadvertently “Joint Venture” has been mentioned in Applicant Type instead of Single Entity. This be considered as typographical error and hence Applicant Type be considered as “Single Entity”	Accepted	Agreed
3	Annexure-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder) and Turnover – Network Certificates.	NA	The Bidder has submitted Annexure –III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder) with Turnover certificate and network certificates. The Turnover certificate is certified by M/S	As per requirement of the RFP Documents in Instruction No. 7 of the Annexure-III “The Bidder shall provide an Auditor’s Certificate specifying the net worth of the Bidder and also specifying the methodology adopted for calculating such net worth in accordance with Clause 2.2.2.9 (ii) of the RFP document”. Hence we have submitted the Net worth Certificate issued by our Statutory Auditor. However being a Chartered Accountant firm M/s M K Lunia & Co	Turnover certificate from any other CA except the Statutory auditor cannot be accepted. <b>Hence the bidder is conditionally qualified subject to submission of Turnover certificate duly signed by the Statutory Auditor.</b>	Agreed



			M.K Lunia & Co whereas Networth certificate is certified by P. Gagar & Associates. As per Financials statements submitted the Statutory auditor is P. Gagar & Associates. Bidder to clarify.	has issued the Turnover Certificate and the values has been derived from the Audited Balance Sheets submitted along with the bid only audited by the Statutory Auditor P Gagar & Associates.		
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**(b) Madhucon Projects Limited**

Name of the Bidder: 3/5 Madhucon Projects Ltd						
Sr.No	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Replies submitted by the Bidder	FC Comments	Remarks
1.	Annexure VI- Appendix IA – bid capacity	NA	<i>The value of 'A' i.e Civil engineering works in respect of EPC works as per submitted Financials is Rs 1112.25crs ( F.Y 14-15) but the same as per Annexure VI- Appendix IA is Rs.1137.39 crs. Bidder to clarify.</i>	To calculate the value of 'A' in "Annexure VI- Appendix 1A", Value of Civil Engg. Works undertaken w.r.t EPC Projects for the Financial Year 2014-15 is Rs 1137.39 Cr is same as per submitted Financial certificate on page 12 of our submission and as per submitted Annual report 2014-15.  Value is the amount mentioned in Annual Reports under the head revenue from operations which include operating income (civil engineering projects) plus other operating income (sales of old machinery etc. from our civil engineering projects).	Bid capacity is to be calculated on the basis of <i>value of 'A' i.e Civil engineering works in respect of EPC works only and not on the basis of total revenue</i> operating income which also includes revenue from sales of old machinery etc. Hence bid capacity is calculated on the basis of value of 'A' as <b>Rs 1112.25crs.</b>	Agreed

**(c) M/s Manaranjan Brahma**

Name of the Bidder: 4/5 MANARANJAN BRAHMA						
Sr.No	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Replies submitted by the Bidder	FC Comments	Remarks
1	Annexure-IV ( Details of eligible project)	1_1 to 8	<b>Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of the RFP states that</b> "Provided that at least one similar work of 25% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 98.60 Crore (Rupees Ninety Eight Crore Sixty Lakh Only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in	Total Estimated Project Cost is Rs. 3.74 Crores. 25% of this value is Rs. 93.50 Crores. The executed value of Project 'a' is Rs. 95.70 Crores and it comes under Category 3. Hence this project fulfils.	New documents can not be accepted as part of clarifications. As per original bid submitted by the bidder this project was claimed under category "4" which does not qualify under <b>Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of the RFP.</b> Further even if NHIDCL decides to accept the fresh documents now submitted as part of clarifications the bidder is not getting qualified because the claimed and assessed	Agreed

Ajay 28 3-ju 2018 L.H. 2018

			<p>Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 25% of the estimated project cost".</p> <p><b>Which project is fulfilling the above requirement.</b></p> <p><b>Bidder to clarify.</b></p>		<p>capacity on the basis of these new documents is only Rs 524.37 crs as against required TTC of Rs 561.69 crs. under Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of the RFP.</p>	
2.	Annexure-IV (Details of eligible project)	1,1_1,3-1 & 3-2	<p>In respect of projects at sl 1, 1_1, 3_1 &amp; 3_2 it is not clear whether the roads are rural roads, Municipal roads or within Municipal limits. Bidder to clarify.</p>	The fresh certificates from our Statutory CA which were issued on 07.02.2018 point out this matter.	<p>New documents can not be accepted as part of clarifications. <b>Further even if NHIDCL decides to accept the fresh documents now submitted as part of clarifications the bidder is not getting qualified because the claimed and assessed capacity on the basis of these new documents is only Rs 524.37 crs as against required TTC of Rs 561.69 crs. under Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of the RFP.</b></p>	Agreed
3.	Annexure-IV (Details of eligible project)	2	<p>The work done stated under project <b>code 2</b> is "Construction of Head Work, Diversion Channel, etc) under AIBP 2013-14 Group No. 1. under Kokrajhar Division (Irrigation)"</p> <p>The work done stated under project <b>code 5</b> is also same as project code 2 i.e "Construction of Head Work, Diversion Channel, etc) under AIBP 2013-14 Group No. 1. under Kokrajhar Division (Irrigation)". Both the above projects are duly certified by the Statutory Auditor. How both projects are same and how project code 2 is eligible project -. Bidder to clarify.</p>	<p>Project Code 2 &amp; 5 were re-coded as Project c &amp; g as per documents which were issued on 07.02.2018 by our statutory CA. Kindly refer Project c &amp; g instead of Project 2 &amp; 5. Jobs were under different two schemes and separate two work order numbers. Initially complete job names were not reflected in the documents. CA corrected names and updated job values and reissued on 07.02.2018 which are attached herewith.</p>	<p>New documents can not be accepted as part of clarifications <b>Further even if NHIDCL decides to accept the fresh documents now submitted as part of clarifications the bidder is not getting qualified because the claimed and assessed capacity on the basis of these new documents is only Rs 524.37 crs as against required TTC of Rs 561.69 crs. under Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of the RFP.</b></p>	
4.	Annexure-VI (Information required to evaluate bid capacity)	N.A.	<p>As per Clause 2.2.2.1 for the value of 'B' the bidder has to submit "Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which LOA issued and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive</p>	This has been attached herewith.	<p>Statement of ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed duly countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects is still not enclosed. Though bid capacity can still be calculated by taking value of work executed at '0', but the same is not done because the bidder is not meeting</p>	Agreed

Ajay

Raj

Can

Lil

over



			Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor". The above statement is not available. Bidder to clarify.		the Technical Threshold capacity under Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of the RFP.	
--	--	--	---	--	---	--

**(d) M/s Simplex Infrastructure Ltd.**

Name of the Bidder: 5/5 SIMPLEX INFRASTRUCTURES LIMITED						
Sl.No	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Replies submitted by the Bidder	FC Comments	Remarks
1	Annexure V  Statement of Legal capacity	N.A.	Annexure V Legal capacity is signed by Mr B.L Bajoria who is the executor of POA and not by POA holder Subir Basu and Kaustab Chakraborty. Bidder to clarify.	Statement of Legal Capacity (Annex-V) is in favour of Mr. SubirBasu and Mr. Kaustab Chakraborty confirming them as our representative and authorizing to sign the RFP severally on behalf of the company, So it is apparent that this letter cannot be signed by them. Hence it is signed by our Company Secretary Mr. B. L. Bajoria. The copy of Power of Attorney in favour of Mr. B. L. Bajoria is attached herewith as Exhibit – 1 for your ready reference.	Accepted	Agreed
2.	Annexure-VI (Information required to evaluate bid capacity)	N.A.	As per Clause 2.2.2.1 for the value of 'B' the bidder has to submit "Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which LOA issued and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor".	As per Clause No. 2.2.2.1, the required statement is already mentioned at Page No. 198 of our bid submitted to you. However we are once again attaching the same herewith as Exhibit – 2 to your ready reference.	Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which LOA issued and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed is <b>not countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects</b> , it is only verified by Statutory Auditor. However we have calculated the bid capacity after taking the value of executed work in respect of on going projects as NIL and still the bidder is meeting the required bid capacity.	Agreed

Ajayna

Chl

L.L

over

			The above statement is not available. Bidder to clarify			
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

2. The details of Technical and Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the five bidders as per the report are as under:

Sr. no.	Bidder No	Bidders	Whether Satisfying minimum Criteria of TTC (Rs. 561.69 Cr.) for category 1,2,3 &4 required as per RFP	Whether Satisfying minimum criteria of Completed Projects (Rs. 93.62 Cr.) in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per RFP	Whether Satisfying minimum criteria of Net Worth (Rs. 18.72 Cr) required for Financial Capacity	Whether Satisfying minimum criteria of Average Annual Turnover for the last 5 F.Y (Rs. 74.89 Cr.) required for Financial Capacity	Whether Satisfying minimum criteria (Rs. 374.46 Cr.) required for Bid Capacity
1	1/5	ABCI Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd	Yes (Rs. 836.36 Cr.)	Yes (Rs. 310.88 Cr.)	Yes (Rs. 113.48 Cr.)	Yes (Rs. 398.27 Cr.)	Yes (Rs. 2382.79 Cr.)
2	2/5	Gawar – Singh (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
			Lead Member : Rs. 1061.65 Cr.	(Rs. 282.15 cr.)	Lead Member : Rs. 380.97 Cr.	Lead Member: Rs. 1341.34 Cr.	Lead Member: Rs. 7696.08 Cr.
			Other Member: Rs. 169.95 Cr.		For Other Member: Rs. 9.82 Cr.	Other Member: Rs. 54.58 Cr.	Other Member: Rs. 621.42 Cr.
3	3/5	Madhucon Projects Ltd.	Yes (Rs. 3087.66 Cr.)	Yes (Rs. 1106.39 Cr.)	Yes (Rs. 783.16 Cr.)	Yes (Rs. 965.22 Cr.)	Yes (Rs. 4481.21 Cr.)
4	4/5	Manaranjan Brahma	NO	NO	Yes (Rs. 29.15 Cr.)	Yes (Rs. 105.59 Cr.)	Yes (Rs. 890.01 Cr.)
5	5/5	Simplex Infrastructures Limited	Yes (Rs. 5679.71 Cr.)	Yes (Rs. 217.76 Cr.)	Yes (Rs. 1377.25 Cr.)	Yes (Rs. 6149 Cr.)	Yes (Rs. 16677.71 Cr.)

3. The **Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC)** in its 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting has discussed the evaluation carried out by the Financial Consultant and after deliberation status of evaluation is as below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s Gawar – Singh (JV)	Technically Responsive
2	M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive subject to submission of Turnover Certificate duly signed by the statutory auditor by 13.03.2018 or else it will rendered non-responsive
3	M/s Simplex Infrastructure Ltd.	Technically Responsive

*Ajay A* *R. J. Singh* *Am* *Lil* *Shel*

4	M/s Madhucon Projects Ltd.	Technically Responsive
5	M/s Manaranjan Brahma	Technically Non-Responsive

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.



Ajay Ahulwalia  
(ED-I): Chairman



Y.C. Srivastava  
(GM-Tech)  
Member  
Secretary



Adil Singh  
(GM-Tech)  
Member



U.S. Prasad  
DGM (Tech)  
Member



Uttam Chatterjee  
DGM -Fin.  
Member



## National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

**Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC)** regarding evaluation of Technical Bids of "Construction of Dimapur Bypass (Assam Portion) of 4/6 lane pavement on EPC basis from existing Km 159.400 of NH-36 to existing Km 102.500 of NH-39 and upto end point of Assam portion [Design Km 118.050 to design Km 132.375] (length 14.325 Km) in the State of Assam under Phase-A of SARDP-NE" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 27.02.2018 (**1<sup>st</sup> Meeting**).

The bid for the subject work was invited with bid due date (online & physical submission) as 20.02.2018 upto 1100 hrs.

2. ETBC met to open the Technical bid on 21.02.2018 at 1100 Hrs. The following bidders have submitted their bids physically and online -

- (i) M/s Gawar – Singh (JV)
- (ii) M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
- (iii) M/s Simplex Infrastructure Ltd.
- (iv) M/s Madhucon Projects Ltd.
- (v) M/s Manaranjan Brahma

3. The Bid were opened online as well as hard copies physically, in the presence of the representatives of the bidders who chose to attend. The hard copy of the original documents along with the soft copy (as received from E-bidding service viz. <https://eprocure.gov.in/cppp/>) were handed over to the concerned Financial Consultant M/s Gianender & Associates for carrying out the evaluation of the Technical Bids.

4. The Financial Consultant M/s Gianender & Associates during preliminary RFP evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are inadequate or requires clarification for further evaluation. It is proposed by the Financial Consultant to seek clarification from the Bidders before further evaluation. Details of clarifications required are as below-

**Name of the Bidder: ABCI Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd**

Clarification are required for the following:			
Sr. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation
1	Appendix III (POA)	NA	Board resolution date for execution of POA is 9 <sup>th</sup> Feb 2018 where as the POA executed on 24 <sup>th</sup> January 2018. How POA Is executed before the BOD resolution date. <b>Bidder to clarify.</b>
2	Annexure-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder)	NA	The bidder has submitted Annexure –III i.e. Financial Capacity of the Bidder where in it is mentioned Applicant type as Joint venture. The bidder has applied in single capacity. <b>Bidder to clarify.</b>
3	Annexure-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder) and Turnover – Network Certificates.	NA	The Bidder has submitted Annexure –III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder) with Turnover certificate and network certificates. The Turnover certificate is certified by M/S M.K Lunia & Co whereas Network certificate is certified by P. Gagar & Associates. As per Financials statements submitted the Statutory auditor is P. Gagar & Associates. <b>Bidder to clarify.</b>

Ajayn Bpd Cmc M/L <<





Name of the Bidder: M/s Madhucon Projects Ltd

Clarification are required for the following:			
Sr.No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observations
1.	Annexure VI- Appendix IA – bid capacity	NA	<i>The value of 'A' i.e Civil engineering works in respect of EPC works as per submitted Financials is Rs 1112.25crs ( F.Y 14-15) but the same as per Annexure VI- Appendix IA is Rs.1137.39 Cr.</i> <b>Bidder to clarify.</b>

Name of the Bidder: M/s MANARANJAN BRAHMA

Clarification are required for the following:			
Sr. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation
1	Annexure-IV ( Details of eligible project)	1_1 to 8	<b>Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of the RFP states that</b> “Provided that at least one similar work of 25% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 98.60 Crore (Rupees Ninety Eight Crore Sixty Lakh Only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 25% of the estimated project cost”. <b>Which project is fulfilling the above requirement? Bidder to clarify.</b>
2	Annexure-IV ( Details of eligible project)	1,1_1,3-1 & 3-2	In respect of projects at sl 1, 1_1, 3_1 & 3_2 it is not clear whether the roads are rural roads, Municipal roads or within Municipal limits. <b>Bidder to clarify.</b>
3.	Annexure-IV ( Details of eligible project)	2	The work done stated under project <b>code 2</b> is “Construction of Head Work, Diversion Channel, etc) under AIBP 2013-14 Group No. 1. under Kokrajhar Division (Irrigation)”  The work done stated under project <b>code 5</b> is also same as project code 2i.e “Construction of Head Work, Diversion Channel, etc) under AIBP 2013-14 Group No. 1. under Kokrajhar Division (Irrigation)”. <b>Both the above projects are duly certified by the Statutory Auditor. How both projects are same and how project code 2 is eligible project.</b> <b>Bidder to clarify.</b>
4	Annexure-VI (Information required to evaluate bid capacity)	N.A.	As per Clause2.2.2.1 for the value of ‘B’ the bidder has to submit “Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which LOA issued and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor”. <b>The above statement is not available.</b> <b>Bidder to clarify.</b>









**Name of the Bidder: M/s SIMPLEX INFRASTRUCTURES LIMITED**

<b>Clarification are required for the following:</b>			
<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Appendix / Annexure No.</b>	<b>Project Code</b>	<b>Observation</b>
1.	Annexure V Statement of Legal capacity	N.A.	Annexure V Legal capacity is signed by Mr B.L Bajoria who is the executor of POA and not by POA holder Subir Basu and Kaustab Chakraborty. <b>Bidder to clarify.</b>
2	Annexure-VI (Information required to evaluate bid capacity)	N.A.	As per Clause 2.2.2.1 for the value of 'B' the bidder has to submit "Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which LOA issued and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor". <b>The above statement is not available.</b> <b>Bidder to clarify</b>


5. The **Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC)** has been of a view that the clarification as requested by the Financial Consultant is to be sought from the respective contractors.


Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

  
Col Ajay Ahluwalia  
(ED-I): Chairman

  
Y. C. Srivastava  
GM (Technical): Member Secretary

  
Adil Singh  
GM (Technical): Member

  
U S Prasad  
DGM (Technical): Member

  
Sunil Gupta  
Manager (Finance): Member

# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क, परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार  
तीसरी माजेल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110 001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India

3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 2335 1282, www.nhidcl.com



CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र का उपक्रम

A PUBLIC SECTOR UNDERTAKING

No.- NHIDCL/Assam/Civil Works/D-B (Assam Portion)/2017

Date: 09.03.2018

To

M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

Club Road, Silchar

Dist: Cachar, Assam

Pin: 788001

Ph: 03842-247471,236054

Subject:- Construction of Dimapur Bypass (Assam Portion) of 4/6 lane pavement on EPC basis from existing Km 159.400 of NH-36 to existing Km 102.500 of NH-39 and upto end point of Assam portion [Design Km 118.050 to design Km 132.375] (length 14.325 Km) in the State of Assam under Phase-A of SARDP-NE-Submission of Turnover Certificate-Reg.

Ref: Your letter no. ABCI/NHIDCL/2017-18/512 dated 06.03.2018

Sir

Refer to above mentioned letter in which you have replied to the clarifications raised by the Financial Consultant. Further this is to inform you that Turnover certificate from any other CA except the Statutory auditor cannot be accepted. Hence you are requested to submit Turnover certificate duly signed by the Statutory Auditor by 13.03.2018 failing which your bid shall be declared as technically non-responsive.

Y.C. Srivastava

GM (Tech)



**GIANENDER & ASSOCIATES**

CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS

Consulting & Transaction Advisory Division

To,

Date: 13<sup>rd</sup> March, 2018

Mr. Y.C. Srivastava  
General Manager (T)  
National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited,  
3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, PTI Building,  
Parliament Street,  
New Delhi – 110001


Sub:- Construction of Dimapur Bypass (Assam Portion) of 4/6 lane pavement on EPC basis from existing Km 159.400 of NH-36 to existing Km 102.500 of NH-39 and up to end point of Assam portion [Design Km 118.050 to design Km 132.375] (length 14.325 Km) in the State of Assam under Phase-A of SARDP-NE.

Ref :- ABCI Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd

Dear Sir,

This is in continuation of our final report submitted on 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2018 on above project in which the Bidder ABCI Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd was conditionally qualified due to non submission of turnover certificate from their Statutory Auditors. The Turnover Certificate duly signed by the Statutory Auditor now submitted by the bidder has been verified. The same is in order and therefore the bidder may be considered as Technically Qualified for opening the Financial bid.

Thanking You,

  
(Manju Agrawal)  
(Partner)

